



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/725,433

12/03/2003

Heung-Yeop Jang

Q77246

5326

23373 7590 08/26/2008
SUGHRUE MION, PLLC
2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W.
SUITE 800
WASHINGTON, DC 20037

EXAMINER

COLUCCI, MICHAEL C

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2626

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

08/26/2008

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/725,433

Applicant(s)

JANG ET AL.

Examiner

MICHAEL C. COLUCCI

Art Unit

2626

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on ____.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-3, 5-7 and 10-13 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) ____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) ____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-3, 5-7, and 10-13 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) ____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) ____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 03 December 2003 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
- Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. ____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/S5108)
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
- 6) ☐ Other: ____
- Paper No(s)/Mail Date ____

DETAILED ACTION

NOTE: Examiner acknowledges the cancellation of claims 4, 8, 9, and 14-19.

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments, see Remarks pages 12-13, filed 06/03/2008, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 1-3, 5-7, and 10-13 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of Araki US 6725192 B1 (hereinafter Araki). Examiner concurs that Areal fails to teach "adjusting a common gain while fixing the scale factor band gain for each frequency band". However, Araki teaches quantization using a common scale factor as well as individual scale factors within frequency domain bands.

Argument 1 (page 13 paragraph 3):

- "Thus, Akigiri does not teach that in order to approximate the energy distribution curve to the distribution pattern of noise threshold levels, quantization noise distribution is adjusted by relatively adjusting a gain for each frequency band based on the calculated energy distribution curve which connects calculated energy levels for each frequency band."

Response to argument 1:

Examiner takes the position that Areal teaches a perceptual threshold values are used, as part of the quantization process, to allocate noise to the frequency

domain coefficients from the analysis filter bank 104. Within each of the factor bands, the quantization step sizes are adjusted according to the computed perceptual threshold values in order to meet the noise level requirements. This process of determining quantization step sizes also takes into account a target bit rate for the coded signal, and as a result may involve both over coding, i.e., adding less noise to the signal than the perceptual threshold requires, and under coding, i.e., adding more noise than required. The output of noise allocation element 107 is a quantized representation of the original audio signal that satisfies the target bit rate requirement. (Col. 12 lines 18-34).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

3. Claim 1-3, 5-7, and 10-13 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Arean et al US 6253185 B1 (hereinafter Arean) in view of Akagiri US 5490130 A (hereinafter Akagiri).

Re claims 1 and 6, Arean teaches an audio data encoding apparatus comprising:

a time-to-frequency converting unit that receives a time domain audio signal and converts the time domain audio signal to a frequency domain audio signal (Col. 11 line 59-61 and Fig. 8);

a spectral processor that receives the frequency domain audio signal and performs spectral processing on the frequency domain audio signal according to an audio encoding format (Col. 17 line 45-59);

a masking threshold calculator that receives the frequency domain audio signal (Col. 12 line 4-7), calculates an energy level for each frequency band of the frequency domain audio signal, approximates an energy distribution curve (Col. 2 line 13-33 & fig. 11-12) to a distribution pattern of noise threshold levels without using a psychoacoustic model (Fig. 6B, Areal teaches an MD JSC encoder 14 configured to interact with an element 63 providing preprocessing functions and perceptual table specifications in place of using a psychoacoustic model), the energy distribution curve connecting the calculated energy levels, and calculates a scale factor band gain for each frequency band (Col. 12 line 9-14);

a quantization noise curve adjuster (Col. 2 lines 13-45) that adjusts a common gain to meet a target bit rate (Col. 12 line 19-33) and matches a quantization noise curve to the approximated energy distribution curve while fixing the scale factor band gain for each frequency band (Col. 12 line 9-14)

wherein the masking threshold calculator comprises:

an energy distribution curve calculator that performs Modified Discrete Cosine Transform (MDCT) on the frequency domain audio signal to calculate the energy level for each frequency band (Col. 12 line 9-14)

a quantization noise curve pattern estimator that adjusts quantization noise distribution (Col 14 lines 13-35) by relatively adjusting a gain for each frequency band based on the calculated energy distribution curve in order to approximate the energy distribution curve to the distribution pattern of noise threshold levels (Col. 12 line 18-34);

a bit adjustment initial value setter that determines the scale factor band gain in such a way as to use more bits than the target bit rate (Col. 12 line 18-34).

However, Areal fails to particularly teach energy levels of individual frequency bands with different levels. However, Akagiri does teach energy levels of individual bands with different level. (Akagiri Col. 18 lines 46-66 & Fig. 6-8). Akagiri teaches an allowable noise level calculating circuit 20 also calculates the difference between the energy or peak signal amplitude in each band and the allowable noise level in each band and feeds this information to the adaptive bit allocation and quantizing circuit 18. From this information, the adaptive bit allocation and quantizing circuit 18 determines the number of bits to allocate to each band for quantizing the spectral coefficients in the band, and re-quantizes the spectral coefficients in each band using to the number of bits allocated to the band. The spectral coefficients, re-quantized as just described, are supplied to the multiplexer 51 as the main information of the compressed signal fed from the multiplexer to the output terminal 19.

Additionally, Akagiri teaches the band energy calculating circuit determines the energy in each critical band by calculating the sum of the amplitudes of the spectral coefficients in the band. The band energies can also be calculated by a root-mean-square calculation using the spectral coefficients. The peak or mean values of the amplitudes of the spectral coefficients may be used instead. The output of the band energy calculating circuit 22 is a spectrum of the energy in each critical band, and is called a bark spectrum. FIG. 7 shows such a bark spectrum SB of the energies in twelve successive critical bands. To take account of the effect of the bark spectrum SB on masking, convolution processing is carried out in which the bark spectrum is multiplied by predetermined weighting coefficients and the resulting products are summed. The convolution processing calculates the sum of the effects of the energies in the neighboring critical bands on the masking level in each critical band. These are indicated by the broken lines in FIG. 7 (Akagiri Col. 19 lines 1-25).

Akagiri also teaches allowable noise correction circuit 30 and the output terminal 31 to the adaptive bit allocation and quantizing circuit 18, which includes the ROM 50, in which, e.g., plural pre-allocated bit allocation patterns are stored. In response to the difference between the energy in each band and the allowed noise level for each band obtained via the allowed noise correction circuit 30 from the subtractor 28 in the allowed noise level calculating circuit, the ROM 50 selects one of the pre-allocated bit allocation patterns and reads out an allocated bit number for each band. The adaptive bit allocation and quantizing circuit then requantizes the spectral coefficients (Akagiri Col. 20 lines 13-26).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the system of Areal to incorporate calculating energy levels for each frequency band, where an energy distribution can be approximated to noise thresholds as taught by Akagiri because using individual frequency bands allows for the proper noise threshold applied between the energy levels in a signal, where masking can be implemented, bits allocated, and bit allocation patterns stored, wherein the use of individual frequency bands allows for a requantization of spectral coefficients in each band, where a noise correction can be implemented to find noise present when there is a difference in energy levels in neighboring bands (Akagiri Col. 19 lines 1-25).

However, Areal in view of Akagiri fails to teach adjusting a common gain while fixing the scale factor band gain for each frequency band.

Araki teaches the calculation of the quantization of the MDCT coefficients with the common scalefactor C_{sf} and the individual scalefactors $sf[sfb]$, at this instant, applied to the frequency domain values, and calculates the quantization error level of each of the scalefactor bands sfb based on the quantized frequency domain values. After the step S47 is performed, step S48 checks if the quantization error level is larger than the allowed distortion level for each of the scalefactor bands. Step S48 increments the scalefactors $sf[sfb]$ ($sf[sfb]=sf[sfb]+1$) for the scalefactor bands sfb having a distortion that exceed the allowed distortion levels of the related bands (Araki Col. 6 line 66 – Col. 7 line 11).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the system of Areal in view of Akagiri to incorporate adjusting a common gain while fixing the scale factor band gain for each frequency band as taught by Araki to allow for the reduction of distortion within various frequency bands, wherein only specific bands are adjusted dependent on the allowable distortion levels present in bands relative to a common scale factor and individual scale factors (Araki Col. 6 line 66 – Col. 7 line 11).

Re claim 2, Areal teaches a time-to-frequency converting unit performs Modified Discrete Cosine Transform (MDCT) on the input time domain signal (Areal Col. 11 line 61-65).

Re claim 3, Areal in view of Akagiri fails to the apparatus of claim 1, wherein the spectral processor performs Temporal Noise Shaping (TNS), Long Term Prediction (LTP), or Perceptual Noise Substitution (PNS) according to an audio encoding format.

Araki teaches an AAC encoder includes temporal noise shaping (TNS) 74, wherein an audio signal, input to the AAC encoder, is a sequence of blocks of samples which are produced along the time axis. Each block of the audio signal is converted into a number of spectral subband components via the filterbank 73 (Araki Col. 5 lines 7-24).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the system of Areal in view of Akagiri to incorporate

temporal noise shaping as taught by Araki to allow for the proper sequencing of samples in blocks or frames, wherein frequency subband components can be mapped and quantized (Araki Col. 5 lines 7-24).

Re claim 5, Areal fails to teach a quantization noise curve adjuster compares the number of bits available for a given bit rate with the number of bits used, and if the number of bits used is smaller than the number of bits available, performs encoding using the number of bits available, or, if the number of bits used is not smaller than the number of bits available (Akagiri Col. 21 lines 20-38), repeats matching of the quantization noise curve.

Akagiri teaches a correction information output circuit 33 that may be used to correct the allowable noise level in response to information indicating the difference between the number of bits used by the adaptive bit allocation and quantizing circuit 18 (FIG. 4) for quantizing the spectral coefficients, and the target bit rate of the compressed signal. This correction is required because an error may exist between the total number of bits allocated in an advance bit allocation by the adaptive bit allocation and quantizing circuit 18 and the number of bits corresponding to the target bit rate of the compressed signal. Therefore, the bit allocation must be repeated to reduce the error to zero. The second bit allocation is carried out such that, when the total number of allocated bits is less than the target value, a number of bits equal to the difference is distributed among the bands to add to the bits already allocated. On the other hand,

when the total number of allocated bits is greater than the target value, a number of bits equal to the difference is distributed among the bands for removal from the bits already allocated.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the system of Arean to incorporate a bit availability comparison scheme to allow for encoding available bits as taught by Akagiri because bit allocation allows for the reduction of error, where a compressed signal relative to a target value can be maintained to be greater than the allocated bits. Additionally, using a bit allocation amount relative to a target value would ensure proper compression without losing data (Akagiri Col. 21 lines 20-38).

Re claims 7 and 10-13, Arean teaches an audio data encoding method comprising:

(a) receiving a time domain audio signal and converting the time domain audio signal to a frequency domain signal (Col. 17 line 45-59);

(b) performing spectral processing on the frequency domain signal according to an audio encoding format (Col. 11 line 59-61 and Fig. 8);

(c) receiving the frequency domain signal, calculating an energy level for each frequency band of the frequency domain signal (Col. 12 line 4-7), approximating an energy distribution curve (Col. 2 line 13-33 & fig. 11-12) to a distribution pattern of noise threshold levels without using a psychoacoustic model (Fig. 6B, Arean teaches an MD JSC encoder 14 configured to interact with an element 63 providing preprocessing

functions and perceptual table specifications in place of using a psychoacoustic model), the energy distribution curve connecting the calculated energy levels, and calculating a scalefactor band gain for each frequency band (Col. 12 line 9-14);

(d) adjusting a common gain (Col. 2 lines 13-45) to meet a target bit rate (Col. 12 line 19-33) and matching a quantization noise curve to the approximated energy distribution curve while fixing the scalefactor band gain for each frequency band (Col. 12 line 9-14)

wherein (c) comprises:

(cl) calculating the energy level for each frequency band with the frequency domain (Col. 12 line 9-14)

(c2) approximating the energy distribution curve (Col 14 lines 13-35) to the distribution pattern of noise threshold levels by approximating the energy level for each frequency band (Col. 2 line 13-33 & fig. 11-12) and estimating the pattern of a quantization noise distribution curve using a distribution pattern of the approximated energy levels (Col. 12 line 18-34);

(c3) determining an initial value for bit adjustment in order to match the quantization noise distribution curve to the energy level for each frequency band (Col. 2 line 13-33 & fig. 11-12) according to a target bit rate and calculating a scalefactor band gain for each frequency band (Col. 12 line 18-34),

However, Areal fails to teach wherein in (c2), if a signal in one of adjacent frequency bands has an energy level greater than that of a signal in a particular

frequency band (Akagiri Col. 18 lines 46-66 & Fig. 6-8), the energy level of the signal in the particular band is increased by a predetermined ratio (Akagiri Col. 19 lines 1-25) with respect to a difference with the greater energy level in the adjacent frequency band (Akagiri Col. 18 lines 46-66 & Fig. 6-8).

Akagiri teaches an allowable noise level calculating circuit 20 also calculates the difference between the energy or peak signal amplitude in each band and the allowable noise level in each band and feeds this information to the adaptive bit allocation and quantizing circuit 18. From this information, the adaptive bit allocation and quantizing circuit 18 determines the number of bits to allocate to each band for quantizing the spectral coefficients in the band, and re-quantizes the spectral coefficients in each band using to the number of bits allocated to the band. The spectral coefficients, re-quantized as just described, are supplied to the multiplexer 51 as the main information of the compressed signal fed from the multiplexer to the output terminal 19.

Additionally, Akagiri teaches the band energy calculating circuit determines the energy in each critical band by calculating the sum of the amplitudes of the spectral coefficients in the band. The band energies can also be calculated by a root-mean-square calculation using the spectral coefficients. The peak or mean values of the amplitudes of the spectral coefficients may be used instead. The output of the band energy calculating circuit 22 is a spectrum of the energy in each critical band, and is called a bark spectrum. FIG. 7 shows such a bark spectrum SB of the energies in twelve successive critical bands. To take account of the effect of the bark spectrum SB on masking, convolution processing is carried out in which the bark spectrum is

multiplied by predetermined weighting coefficients and the resulting products are summed. The convolution processing calculates the sum of the effects of the energies in the neighboring critical bands on the masking level in each critical band. These are indicated by the broken lines in FIG. 7 (Akagiri Col. 19 lines 1-25).

Akagiri also teaches allowable noise correction circuit 30 and the output terminal 31 to the adaptive bit allocation and quantizing circuit 18, which includes the ROM 50, in which, e.g., plural pre-allocated bit allocation patterns are stored. In response to the difference between the energy in each band and the allowed noise level for each band obtained via the allowed noise correction circuit 30 from the subtractor 28 in the allowed noise level calculating circuit, the ROM 50 selects one of the pre-allocated bit allocation patterns and reads out an allocated bit number for each band. The adaptive bit allocation and quantizing circuit then requantizes the spectral coefficients (Akagiri Col. 20 lines 13-26).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the system of Arean to incorporate calculating energy levels for each frequency band of adjacent/neighboring frequency bands that are compared to one another having a difference greater than other frequency bands, where a ratio / weighting coefficient is used to alter the energy level to overcome noise in a signal as taught by Akagiri because using individual frequency bands allows for the proper noise threshold applied between the energy levels in a signal, where masking can be implemented, bits allocated, and bit allocation patterns stored, wherein the use of individual frequency bands allows for a requantization of spectral coefficients in each

band, where a noise correction can be implemented to find noise present when there is a difference in energy levels in neighboring bands (Akagiri Col. 19 lines 1-25).

However, Areal in view of Akagiri fails to teach adjusting a common gain while fixing the scale factor band gain for each frequency band.

Araki teaches the calculation of the quantization of the MDCT coefficients with the common scalefactor C_{sf} and the individual scalefactors $sf[sfb]$, at this instant, applied to the frequency domain values, and calculates the quantization error level of each of the scalefactor bands sfb based on the quantized frequency domain values. After the step S47 is performed, step S48 checks if the quantization error level is larger than the allowed distortion level for each of the scalefactor bands. Step S48 increments the scalefactors $sf[sfb]$ ($sf[sfb]=sf[sfb]+1$) for the scalefactor bands sfb having a distortion that exceed the allowed distortion levels of the related bands (Araki Col. 6 line 66 – Col. 7 line 11).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the system of Areal in view of Akagiri to incorporate adjusting a common gain while fixing the scale factor band gain for each frequency band as taught by Araki to allow for the reduction of distortion within various frequency bands, wherein only specific bands are adjusted dependent on the allowable distortion levels present in bands relative to a common scale factor and individual scale factors (Araki Col. 6 line 66 – Col. 7 line 11).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael C. Colucci whose telephone number is (571)-270-1847. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:30 am - 6:00 pm, Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richemond Dorvil can be reached on (571)-272-7602. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Michael C Colucci/
Examiner, Art Unit 2626
Patent Examiner
AU 2626

Application/Control Number: 10/725,433

Page 16

Art Unit: 2626

(571)-270-1847

Michael.Colucci@uspto.gov

/Vijay B. Chawan/

for Richemond Dorvil, SPE of Art Unit 2626